

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22 Www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/644,847 08/21/2003 Shou-Te Yu YUSH3007/EM 6154 23364 7590 09/28/2004 EXAMINER **BACON & THOMAS, PLLC** NGUYEN, NINH H **625 SLATERS LANE** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 3745

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/644,847	YU, SHOU-TE	V
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ninh H. Nguyen	3745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.
Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	JS O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and a subject to restriction and a subject to restriction.	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Board of the State of the Sta	e: a) accepted or b) objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of: 2. Certified copies of the priority document of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	l Stage
Attachment(s)	∆ □ 	(DTO 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/644,847

Art Unit: 3745

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 10 Applicant recites the limitation "said hub rotatably connected to said driving section axially shortened by a length corresponding to said predetermined height of said bent inner ends of said locating members, enabling airflows produced by said blade portion rotated by said driving section to move to a space below said lower surface of said driving section."

It is unclear from what original length the hub is axial axially shortened from, and which end of the hub is shortened. Secondly, as disclosed on page 4, lines 14-24 of the specification, the hub is shortened such that the blades around the hub have and overall height larger than that of the hub. Therefore, having a shortened hub in the axial direction alone does not enable the airflow produced by the blade portion rotate by the driving section to move to a space below the lower surface of the driving section as claimed. Because of this, a person in the art would not know how to make and use of the invention without undue experimentation.

Claim 2 is indefinite as being dependent on claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,847 Page 3

Art Unit: 3745

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claim 1, as far as it is definite, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hsieh (6,579,064).

Hsich discloses an air fan (Figs. 1-3) comprising a frame 10 and a blade portion; said blade portion including a hub 31 and a plurality of blades 33 spaced around said hub; and said frame including a plurality of locating members (Fig. 1), and a driving section fixedly supported at inner ends of said locating members to locate center of said frame for said hub of said blade portion to rotatably connect thereto; said fan being characterized that said locating members have inner ends that have a raised portion axially extend into said frame by a predetermined height, and that said hub rotatably connected to said driving section axially shortened by length corresponding said predetermined height of said bent inner ends of said locating members such that the blades around the hub have and overall height larger than that of the hub (Fig. 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/644,847

Art Unit: 3745

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2, as far as it is definite, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsieh in view of Chang (6,244,818).

Hsieh discloses all the limitation except the locating members do not have inclined outer surfaces to serve as airflow-guiding members as claimed.

Chang teaches a fan having a housing 201, a plurality of locating members 203 supporting a central portion 202, an impeller 212 mounted on the central portion for rotation for rotation around an axis; wherein the locating members have inclined outer surfaces for supercharging the airflow (col. 1, lines 44-47)

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to make the fan of Hsieh with the locating members having inclined outer surfaces for supercharging the air flow as taught by Chang.

Prior Art

The prior art made of record but not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and consists of patents.

Scott et al. (5,188,508) and O'Connor are cited to show different fan constructions.

Art Unit: 3745

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Ninh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0061 or (571) 272-4823 after November 18, 2004. The examiner can be normally reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look, can be reached at (703) 308-1044 or (571) 272-4820 after November 18, 2004. The fax number for this group is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

MINH H. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Nhn

September 27, 2004